

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Dox 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 09/02/2004

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/054,194	11/13/2001	Christian Engeler	015258-055600US	4424
	7590 09/02/2004		EXAMINER	
TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW, LLP TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER			LANGEL, WAYNE A	
EIGHTH FLOOR SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-3834		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1754	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231 હિ

SERIAL NUMBER | FILING DATE | 100571194 FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.

EXAMINER ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED:

This is a communication from the examiner in charge of your application. COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

_	
This application has been examined Responsive to communication filed on	This action is made final.
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire	om the date of this letter.
Part I THE FOLLOWING ATTACHMENT(S) ARE PART OF THIS ACTION:	•
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited by Examiner, PTO-892.</li> <li>Notice of Art Cited by Applicant, PTO-1449.</li> <li>Information on How to Effect Drawing Changes, PTO-1474.</li> <li>Notice of Informal Patent</li> </ol>	Application, PTO-152.
Part II SUMMARY OF ACTION	
1. Claims	are pending in the application.
Of the above, claims are	
2. Claims	
3. Claims	
<u></u>	
4. Claims	
5. L Claims	are objected to.
6. Claims are subject to restriction	n or election requirement.
7.  This application has been filed with informal drawings under 37 C.F.R. 1.85 which are acceptable for examination of the control of the co	nation purposes.
8. Formal drawings are required in response to this Office action.	
9. ☐ The corrected or substitute drawings have been received on Under 37 C. are ☐ acceptable; ☐ not acceptable (see explanation or Notice of Draftsman's Patent Drawing Review, PT	F.R. 1.84 these drawings O-948).
10. ☐ The proposed additional or substitute sheet(s) of drawings, filed on has (have) been examiner; ☐ disapproved by the examiner (see explanation).	Elapproved by the
11. The proposed drawing correction, filed, has beenapproved; disapproved (	see explanation).
2. Acknowledgement is made of the claim for priority under 35 U.S.C. 119. The certified copy has been red been filed in parent application, serial no; filed on	
<ol> <li>Since this application apppears to be in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to taccordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.</li> </ol>	the merits is closed in
4. Other	

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. § 121:

- I. Claims 2-8, drawn to an isotope exchange column, classified in Class 422, subclass 211.
- II. Claim 9, drawn to a method for the de-enrichment of tritium from heavy water, classified in Class 423, subclass 644.
- III. Claim 10, drawn to a method for forming heavy water, classified in Class 423, subclass 580.2.

Claim 1 link(s) inventions I, II and III. The restriction requirement among the linked inventions is subject to the non-allowance of the linking claim(s), claim 1. Upon the allowance of the linking claim(s), the restriction requirement as to the linked inventions shall be withdrawn and any claim(s) depending from or otherwise including all the limitations of the allowable linking claim(s) will be entitled to examination in the instant application. Applicant(s) are advised that if any such claim(s) depending from or including all the limitations of the allowable linking claim(s) is/are presented in a continuation or divisional application, the claims of the continuation or divisional application may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application. Where a restriction requirement is withdrawn, the provisions of 35 U.S.C. § 121 are no longer

applicable. See *In re Ziegler*, 44 F.2d 1211, 1215, 170 USPQ 129, 131-32 (CCPA 1971). See also MPEP § 804.01.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the process as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process, such as a process for forming heavy water.

Inventions I and III are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process, such as one for the de-enrichment of tritium from heavy water.

Inventions II and III are unrelated. Inventions are

unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together, or they have different modes of operation, or they have different functions, or they have different effects. (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions have different functions, i.e., the de-enrichment of tritium from heavy water versus the production of heavy water.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classifications, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must

be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Wayne A. Langel whose telephone number is (571) 272-1353. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8 A.M. to 3:30 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stanley Silverman, can be reached on (571) 272-1358. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either private PAIR or public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Art Unit 1754

August 30, 2004

MAYNE A. LANGEL
WAYNE A. LANGEL
PRIMARY EXAMINER